described by the general formula  $A_x MO_2$  (Fouassier, Delmas & Hagenmuller, 1975) where A = Na, K and M = Cr, Mn, Co. These materials can be described as covalent  $MO_2^{n-}$  layers stacked to give trigonal-prismatic coordination for the A cation which holds the anion sheets together.

## References

ANSELL, G. B., LONGO, J. M. & HOROWITZ, H. S. (1978). Acta Cryst. A34, S157.

CLAVENNA, L. R., LONGO, J. M. & HOROWITZ, H. S. (1977). US patent 4060 500.

- DELMAS, C., FOUASSIER, C. & HAGENMULLER, P. (1976). Mater. Res. Bull. 11, 1488.
- Enraf-Nonius (1979). CAD-4 Structure Determination Package. Enraf-Nonius, Delft.
- FOUASSIER, C., DELMAS, C. & HAGENMULLER, P. (1975). Mater. Res. Bull. 10, 443-450.
- HAMILTON, W. C. (1965). Acta Cryst. 18, 502-510.
- HOROWITZ, H. S. & LONGO, J. M. (1978). Mater. Res. Bull. 13, 1359–1369.
- OSWALD, H.R. & WAMPETICH, M. J. (1967). *Helv. Chim. Acta*, **50**, 2023–2034.
- RIOU, A. & LECERF, A. (1975). Acta Cryst. B31, 2487-2490.
- SHANNON, R. D. (1976). Acta Cryst. A32, 751–767.
- STOUT, G. H. & JENSEN, L. H. (1968). X-ray Structure Determination. London, New York: Macmillan.

Acta Cryst. (1982). B38, 1797–1798

## Sr<sub>9</sub>Mg<sub>38</sub>: Structural Redetermination of 'SrMg<sub>4</sub>'

BY F. MERLO AND MARIA L. FORNASINI

Istituto di Chimica Fisica, Università di Genova, Palazzo delle Scienze, Corso Europa, 16132 Genova, Italy

(Received 15 December 1981; accepted 22 January 1982)

Abstract.  $Sr_9Mg_{38}$ , hexagonal,  $P6_3/mmc$ , a = 10.500 (2), c = 28.251 (3) Å, Z = 2,  $D_c = 2.11$  Mg m<sup>-3</sup>,  $\mu$ (Mo  $K\alpha$ ) = 9.7 mm<sup>-1</sup>. The final *R* value is 0.061 for 446 independent reflexions. This study is a structural redetermination of the phase  $SrMg_4$ , Z = 18, already known in the literature. The presence of four further Mg atoms is detected, changing the stoichiometry to  $Sr_9Mg_{38}$ . The atomic coordination of several atoms is increased, and their coordination polyhedra become more regular.

Introduction. During a crystal-chemical analysis of the binary phases formed by the alkaline-earth elements, some anomalous features were observed in the structure of the compound SrMg<sub>4</sub>, determined by Wang, Kanda, Miskell & King (1965): (a) The measured density, 2.11 Mg m<sup>3</sup>, appears greater than the calculated one, 2.03 Mg m<sup>-3</sup>. (b) The volume contraction, calculated on the basis of the elemental volumes, is negative ( $\Delta V = -1 \cdot 1\%$ ); in other words, an expansion is observed in the compound as regards the elements. This behaviour, which is very unusual for an intermetallic phase, is opposite to that of the other Sr-Mg phases, which show normally positive values of  $\Delta V\%$  (8.3 for SrMg<sub>2</sub>, 4.8 for Sr<sub>6</sub>Mg<sub>23</sub> and 1.8 for  $Sr_2Mg_{17}$ ). (c) In the unit cell, holes are recognizable corresponding to the 4(f) equipoint of the

space group  $P6_3/mmc$   $(\frac{1}{3},\frac{2}{3},z$  with  $z \simeq 0.65$ ) which are large enough to be filled by Mg atoms. The occurrence of these voids, which are infrequent in intermetallic compounds, causes some coordination polyhedra to be incomplete (*e.g.* icosahedra with a missing vertex).

All these circumstances suggested the opportunity of a redetermination of the structure.

A prismatic single crystal of mean dimensions  $0.19 \times 0.15 \times 0.07$  mm was isolated from a sample of composition SrMg<sub>5</sub>, melted in a sealed iron crucible and slowly cooled. Symmetry and extinctions were consistent with the space group  $P6_3/mmc$  already found by Wang et al. (1965). Data collection was carried out on an Enraf-Nonius CAD-4 four-circle automatic diffractometer in the  $\omega$ -scan mode using graphite-monochromated Mo Ka radiation. 1807 reflexions were measured in the  $\theta$  range 3-25° and corrected for Lorentz, polarization and absorption effects, applying the semi-empirical correction of North, Phillips & Mathews (1968), by use of  $\psi$ -scan data of four top reflexions. Averaging gave 949 independent reflexions. Lattice constants were determined by least-squares refinement of the angular values of 25 reflexions centered on the diffractometer. The positional parameters reported by Wang et al. (1965) were assumed as starting values for a full-matrix least-squares refinement in  $P6_3/mmc$  with SHELX 76

Table 1. Positional and thermal parameters for Sr<sub>9</sub>Mg<sub>18</sub>

The e.s.d.'s are given in parentheses. The temperature factor is defined as  $T = \exp \left|-8\pi^2 \times 10^{-2} U(\sin \theta/\lambda)^2\right|$ .

	Equi- point	x	ŗ	z	U (Ų)
Sr(1)	12(k)	0.1362 (2)	0-2724 (4)	0.0621(1)	1.6(1)
Sr(2)	6(h)	0.5312(3)	0.0624 (6)	0.25	1.5(1)
Mg(1)	12(k)	0.2337 (5)	0.4674 (10)	0.5547(3)	1.7 (3)
Mg(2)	12(k)	0.5037 (6)	0.0074 (12)	0.6170(3)	2.0(3)
Mg(3)	12(k)	0.1674 (5)	0.3348 (10)	0.6533(3)	1.8(2)
Mg(4)	12(k)	0.1743 (5)	0.3486 (10)	0.1898(3)	2.0(3)
Mg(5)	6(h)	0.9011 (8)	0.8022 (16)	0.25	1.6 (4)
Mg(6)	6(g)	0.5	0	0	1.9(4)
Mg(7)	4(f)	0.3333	0.6667	0.0378 (6)	0.6(5)
Mg(8)	4(f)	0.3333	0.6667	0.1426(7)	2.0(5)
Mg(9)	4(f)	0.3333	0.6667	0.6465 (7)	$1 \cdot 3(5)$
Mg(10)	4(e)	0	0	0.1575 (7)	1.8 (5)

Table 2. Interatomic distances (Å) in Sr<sub>9</sub>Mg<sub>38</sub>

E.s.d.'s are given in parentheses.

$ \begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$	Se(1) Ma(7)	2 65 (1)	M.(2)	Mar(8)	2.05 (1)	Mars	284.423	2.00(1)
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	31(1) Mg(7)	3.03(1)	wig(2)	Mg(0)	3.03(1)	wig(2)	20019(5)	3.00(1)
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	· 2/vig(2)	3.00(1)		Mg(9)	3.21(1)		2008(3)	3.12(2)
$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	Mg(10)	3.00(1)		2Mg(1)	3-22(1)		2Mg(10)	3-17(2)
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Mg(4)	3.67(1)		2Mg(3)	3-23(1)		4Mg(4)	3-24(1)
$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	2Mg(1)	3.70(1)		Mg(6)	$3 \cdot 31(1)$		2Sr(2)	3.71(2)
2Mg(6) 3 · 78 (1) Mg(7) 3 · 71 (1) Mg(6) 4Mg(1) 3 · 06 (1)   2Mg(3) 3 · 81 (1) 2Sr(1) 3 · 66 (1) 2Mg(7) 3 · 21 (1)	Mg(1)	3.75(1)		2Mg(4)	3-58(1)			
$-2Mg(3) = 3\cdot81(1) = 2Sr(1) = 3\cdot66(1) = 2Mg(7) = 3\cdot21(1)$	- 2Mg(6)	3.78(1)	-	Mg(7)	3.71(1)	Mg(6)	4Mg(1)	3-06(1)
	-2Mg(3)	3.81(1)		2Sr(1)	3-66(1)		2Mg(7)	3-21(1)
Mg(8) = 4.25(1) $Sr(2) = 3.81(1)$ $2Mg(2) = 3.31(1)$	Mg(8)	4-25(1)		Sr(2)	3-81(1)		2Mg(2)	3.31(1)
$2Sr(1) = 4 \cdot 29(1) = 4Sr(1) = 3 \cdot 78(1)$	2Sr(1)	4.29(1)					4Sr(1)	3-78(1)
$-2Sr(1) = 4 \cdot 30(1) = Mg(3) = Mg(5) = 3 \cdot 00(1)$	- 2Sr(1)	4.30(1)	Mg(3)	Mg(5)	3.00(1)			
$M_{P}(9) = 3.02 (1) = M_{P}(7) = M_{P}(8) = 2.96 (3)$				Me(9)	3.02(1)	$M_{P}(7)$	Mo(8)	2.96(3)
Sr(2) = 4Mg(4) = 3.71(1) $Mg(1) = 3.04(1)$ $3Mg(1) = 3.18(2)$	Sr(2)- 4Mg(4)	3.71(1)		Mg(1)	3.04(1)		3Mo(1)	3-18 (2)
$m_2 M_2(5) = 3.71(2)$ $m_2 M_2(10) = 3.05(1)$ $3M_2(6) = 3.21(1)$		3.71(2)		. Ma(10)	3.05(1)		3Ma(6)	3.21(1)
$2M_{0}(2) = 3.81(1) = 2M_{0}(2) = 3.23(1) = 2M_{0}(2) = 3.71(1)$	2Mg(2)	3.81(1)		$2M_{\sigma}(2)$	2 22 (1)		214.0121	3 71 (1)
$2M_{2}(2) = 2M_{2}(2) = 2M_{$	2Mg(2)	2 2 2 2 ( 1 )		$2 \operatorname{Mg}(2)$	2 29 (1)		20-(1)	2 4 5 4 1
2Mg(4) = 3.52(2) = 2Mg(4) = 3.26(1) = 351(1) = 3.55(1)	2/vig(9)	3.02(2)		2 (Vig(4)	3.20(1)		351(1)	2.02(1)
4Mg(3) - 3.90(1) - 25r(1) - 3.81(1)	4Mg(3)	3.90(1)		2Sr(1)	3-81(1)			
$2Sr(2) = 4 \cdot 27(1) = 2Sr(2) = 3 \cdot 90(1) = Mg(8) = Mg(7) = 2 \cdot 96(3)$	2Sr(2)	$4 \cdot 2 / (1)$		2Sr(2)	3-90(1)	Mg(8)	Mg(7)	2.96 (3)
3Mg(2) = 3.05(1)							3Mg(2)	3-05(1)
Mg(1) - Mg(3) = 3 - 04 (1) - Mg(4) - Mg(8) = 3 - 18 (1) = 3 - 3 Mg(4) - 3 - 18 (1)	Mg(1) - Mg(3)	3.04(1)	Mg(4)	Mg(8)	3-18(1)		3Mg(4)	3-18(1)
2Mg(6) - 3.06(1) - 2Mg(5) - 3.24(1) - 3Sr(1) - 4.25(1)	2Mg((	5) 3.06(1)		2Mg(5)	3-24(1)		3Sr(1)	4-25(1)
2Mg(1) = 3.14(1) $2Mg(3) = 3.28(1)$	2Mg(	1) 3-14 (1)		2Mg(3)	3-28(1)			
$-Mg(9) = 3 \cdot 16(2)$ $Mg(10) = 3 \cdot 30(1) - Mg(9) = 3Mg(3) = 3 \cdot 02(1)$	- Mg(9)	3-16(2)		Mg(10)	3-30(1)	Mg(9)	3Mg(3)	3.02(1)
Mg(7) = 3.18(2) $Mg(4) = 3.40(1) = 3Mg(1) = 3.16(2)$	Mg(7)	3-18(2)		Mg(4)	3-40(1)		3Mg(1)	3-16(2)
$2Mg(2) = 3 \cdot 22(1)$ $2Mg(2) = 3 \cdot 58(1)$ $3Mg(2) = 3 \cdot 21(1)$	2Mg()	$2) = 3 \cdot 22(1)$		2Mg(2)	3-58(1)		3Mg(2)	$3 \cdot 21(1)$
2Sr(1) = 3.70(1) $Sr(1) = 3.67(1) = 3Sr(2) = 3.82(2)$	2Sr(1)	3.70(1)		Sr(1)	3.67(1)		3Sr(2)	3-82(2)
Sr(1) = 3.75(1) = 2Sr(2) = 3.71(1)	Sr(1)	3.75(1)		2Sr(2)	3.71(1)			
Mg(10) 3Mg(3) 3-05(1)						Mg(10)	3Mg(3)	3.05(1)
$3M_{\theta}(5) - 3.17(2)$							3Mo(5)	3-17(2)
3Ma(1) 3, 30 (1)							3Mo(.1)	3.30(1)
3Sr(1) = 3.66(1)							38r(1)	3.66(1)

(Sheldrick, 1976), taking atomic scattering factors and corrections for anomalous dispersion from *International Tables for X-ray Crystallography* (1974). Three isotropic cycles led to a conventional *R* value of 0.101. A successive difference synthesis revealed a new peak in the expected position  $(\frac{1}{3}, \frac{2}{3}, 0.65)$ , which could be assigned to an Mg atom. This position introduced, three other cycles of isotropic refinement (29 parameters) lowered the *R* index to 0.061 for 446 reflexions with  $|F_o| > 3\sigma(F_o)$  and weights  $w = 1/\sigma^2(F_o)$ , while the difference map showed no physically significant deviations from the background values.

The atomic parameters are listed in Table 1, and interatomic distances in Table 2.\*

**Discussion.** The atomic-parameter values obtained in the present work are very close to those determined by Wang *et al.* (1965). Incidentally, two misprints occur in the atomic-parameter table reported in that paper: the x parameter of Sr(h) [corresponding to Sr(2) in the present work] should be -0.478 instead of 0.0478; the z parameter of Mg(f)<sub>2</sub> [Mg(8) in the present work] should be 0.1427 instead of -0.1427. Moreover, the x parameter of Mg(k)' [Mg(2) in the present work] is assumed to have the value  $\frac{1}{2}$ , and apparently it was not refined.

The insertion of four Mg atoms in the new position Mg(9) now allows the calculated density (2.11 Mg  $m^{-3}$ ) to agree well with that measured by Wang *et al.* (1965). The calculated volume contraction is positive  $(\Delta V = +2.9\%)$ , and lies within the range observed for the other Sr-Mg phases. Interatomic distances present quite normal values as regards the metallic radii of Sr and Mg for the 12-coordination. Mg(1), Mg(3), Mg(5), Mg(6), Mg(9) and Mg(10) are icosahedrally coordinated; Mg(2) and Mg(7) are surrounded by 13 atoms in the form of a distorted pentagonal antiprism capped by one atom on one side and by a couple of atoms on the opposite side; Mg(4) presents the same polyhedron as Mg(2) and Mg(7), but with a missing atom; finally, Mg(8) is surrounded by seven Mg atoms which form a cube with an empty vertex, and by three Sr atoms at 4∙25 Å.

The close similarity between  $Sr_9Mg_{38}$  and  $Sr_6Mg_{23}$ (Th<sub>6</sub>Mn<sub>23</sub> type) was already pointed out by Wang *et al.* (1965), considering the arrangement of the Mg atoms around the octahedral groups of Sr atoms. The geometrical relationship between these structures can also be seen by comparing the unit cell of the 9:38 phase with that of the cubic 6:23 phase, described with hexagonal axes: the half cell is identical in both cases, whilst in the other half cell of the  $Sr_9Mg_{38}$  structure the different stacking of the atomic layers and the insertion of other Mg atoms lead to slightly different coordination and stoichiometry.

## References

- International Tables for X-ray Crystallography (1974). Vol. IV. Birmingham: Kynoch Press.
- North, A. C. T., Phillips, D. C. & Mathews, F. S. (1968). Acta Cryst. A24, 351-359.
- SHELDRICK, G. M. (1976). SHELX 76. A program for crystal structure determination. Univ. of Cambridge, England.
- WANG, F. E., KANDA, F. A., MISKELL, C. F. & KING, A. J. (1965). Acta Cryst. 18, 24–31.

<sup>\*</sup> A list of structure factors has been deposited with the British Library Lending Division as Supplementary Publication No. SUP 36689 (4 pp.). Copies may be obtained through The Executive Secretary, International Union of Crystallography. 5 Abbey Square, Chester CH1 2HU, England.